Substrate-Assisted Catalysis in the Reaction Catalyzed by Salicylic Acid Binding Protein 2 (SABP2), a Potential Mechanism of Substrate Discrimination for Some Promiscuous Enzymes
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ABSTRACT: Although one of an enzyme’s hallmarks is the high specificity for their natural substrates, substrate promiscuity has been reported more frequently. It is known that promiscuous enzymes generally show different catalytic efficiencies to different substrates, but our understanding of the origin of such differences is still lacking. Here we report the results of quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical simulations and an experimental study of salicylic acid binding protein 2 (SABP2). SABP2 has promiscuous esterase activity toward a series of substrates but shows a high activity toward its natural substrate, methyl salicylate (MeSA). Our results demonstrate that this enzyme may use substrate-assisted catalysis involving the hydroxyl group from MeSA to enhance the activity and achieve substrate discrimination.

Enzymes are often considered to have been evolved to specifically catalyze the reactions involving their natural substrates, but the catalytic promiscuity of enzymes is not a new concept and has been reported frequently. The mechanism of promiscuity has been discussed recently in several reviews. Promiscuous enzymes generally show different catalytic efficiencies for different substrates. Although the possible factors that may lead to different catalytic efficiencies for different substrates have been discussed, there are still considerable uncertainties and a lack of detailed analyses concerning the exact energetic contributions from these factors. This is probably due in part to the complexity of the catalytic processes that make it difficult to clearly separate one interaction or factor from another. Here we focus on the analysis of the possible role and energetic contribution of substrate-assisted catalysis (SAC) for the reaction catalyzed by salicylic acid binding protein 2 (SABP2) through a combination of computational and experimental investigations. SAC is a process in which the functional groups from substrates, in addition to those from enzymes, contribute to the rate acceleration of the enzyme-catalyzed reactions. The removal of such functional groups is known to impair the catalysis. It has been demonstrated on some engineered enzymes that SAC may provide a way of drastically changing substrate specificity. Understanding the relationship between substrate promiscuity and SAC is of considerable importance for enzymology; it might be helpful for protein engineering and drug design as well. Nevertheless, a detailed analysis concerning how naturally occurring enzymes might have used SAC as one of the important strategies for discrimination between their natural substrates containing the SAC participating groups and other substrates that lack such groups is still lacking.

SABP2 belongs to the α/β fold carboxylesterase hydrolase superfamily (EC 3.1.1). Previous studies have demonstrated that SABP2 possesses specific esterase activity toward MeSA. MeSA is a compound found in plants that can be produced from salicylic acid (SA) by the methylation activity of the SA methyltransferase (SAMT). The conversion of MeSA back to salicylic acid (SA) catalyzed by SABP2 is believed to be a part of the signal transduction pathways that activate systemic acquired resistance and local defense responses to plant pathogens. It has been shown that Ser-81, His-238, and Asp-210 act as the catalytic triad (Figure 1), and the esterase activity was mostly or totally abrogated by the Ser81Ala mutation. The SABP2-catalyzed reaction consists of acylation and deacylation processes, and Figure 1 shows the proposed mechanism for the acylation reaction in which Ser-81 serves as
the nucleophile and attacks the carbon atom of the ester bond. His-238, with help of Asp-210, functions as the general acid and base catalyst during the catalysis. It has been shown previously and in this work (Figure 1) that SABP2 can catalyze the reactions for a series of substrates,
21–25,27,28 even though its activity on MeSA is much higher.21,25,27 One possible explanation is that the active site of SABP2 would be too crowded to be occupied by some relatively large substrates, leading to a decrease in activity,21 but other factors may also be involved.

The crystal structure of tobacco SABP2 complexed with salicylic acid (SA)25 reveals that the backbone amide group of Ala-13 in the enzyme participates in the oxyanion hole interaction through the hydrogen bonding interaction with the SA carbonyl oxygen atom, as shown in Figure 2. Another potential hydrogen bond involved in oxyanion hole stabilization is from the backbone amide group of Leu-82. However, this hydrogen bond seems rather weak, as demonstrated by the fact that the corresponding hydrogen-bond distance is 3.55 Å in the product complex (between the non-hydrogen atoms). The third hydrogen-bond donor for the oxyanion hole interaction is the hydroxyl group from the substrate; the corresponding hydrogen-bond distance is 2.66 Å between the two oxygen atoms (Figure 2). An interesting question is whether SABP2 may use the SAC mechanism through the enhancement of the oxyanion–hole interaction involving this hydroxyl group of MeSA to increase its activity and achieve substrate discrimination. The simplicity of the reaction catalyzed by SABP2 with relatively small substrates makes it possible to perform combined computational and experimental investigations and examine the role of SAC in detail during the catalysis.

To elucidate the role of the oxyanion–hole interaction involving the substrate in substrate promiscuity and discrimination, we have studied the SABP2-catalyzed reactions with MeSA and methyl benzoate (MeBA) as the substrates using free energy (potential of mean force) simulations (with the SCC-DFTB/MM Hamiltonian29). An experimental study was also performed to confirm the theoretical prediction. The results from the combination of computational and experimental investigations suggest that the substrate-assisted three-pronged oxyanion hole involving MeSA may contribute to a higher catalytic efficiency and result in substrate discrimination between natural and some other promiscuous substrates.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Models.
The initial coordinates for the reactant complexes were based on the crystallographic structure (Protein Data Bank entry 1Y7I, 2.1 Å resolution) of tobacco SABP2 in complex with SA.21 A methyl group was manually added to SA to form MeSA. The side chain of Ser-81 was manually placed between His-238 and the carbonyl carbon of MeSA to complete the catalytic triad (see above). Hydrogen atoms of protein were added by the HBUILD module30 implemented in CHARMM,31,32 but the substrate hydrogen atoms were added manually. All ionizable residues were set to the standard protonated or deprotonated states under physiological conditions. A careful check of the surrounding environment of all histidine residues allowed the proton to be assigned to the Nε or Nη atoms for His residues. All protonation states were further confirmed with MOE.33 The reactant complex was solvated by water droplets with a 22 Å radius by the standard superimposing protocol with the center located at the Ser-81 oxygen atom (Oδ labeled in Figure 2), and solvent water molecules within 2.8 Å of any crystal atoms were removed. A modified TIP3P water model34,35 was employed for the solvent. The substrates and the side chains of Ser-81, His-238, and Asp-210 were treated by QM, and the rest of the system was treated by MM. The link-atom approach36 implemented in CHARMM31 was used to separate the QM and MM regions. The DIV scheme37 implemented in CHARMM was used to treat the QM/MM frontier. The SCC-DFTB method38 with empirical dispersion corrections39 implemented in CHARMM was used for the QM atoms, and the all-hydrogen CHARMM potential function (PARAM27)40 was used for the MM atoms. For the reactant complex containing MeBA, the hydroxyl group of

![Figure 2. Active site of SABP2 from the X-ray crystal structure (Protein Data Bank entry 1Y7I) obtained in ref 21. The Reaction coordinate is RC = r(C:C–O) – r(C–C–O). All distances are in angstroms.](image-url)
at position 2 of MeSA was removed to generate the substrate. The resulting systems contained around 5400 atoms, including \( \sim 600 \) water molecules.

**Stochastic Boundary Molecular Dynamics (MD) and Potential Mean Force (PMF) Free Energy Simulations.** The stochastic boundary molecular dynamics method\(^{13}\) was used with the oxygen atom (O\(_2\)) of Ser-81 as the reference center. The reaction region was a sphere with a radius of 20 Å, and the buffer region extended over the \( r \) range of 20–22 Å. All bonds involving hydrogen atoms except those involved in proton transfers in the systems were constrained by the SHAKE algorithm.\(^{22}\) The initial structures for the entire stochastic boundary systems were optimized using the steepest descent (SD) and adopted-basis Newton–Raphson (ABNR) methods. The systems were gradually heated from 50.0 to 298.15 K in 100 ps. A 1 fs time step was used for integration of the equation of motion; 500 ps QM/MM MD simulations were conducted for each of the reactant complexes.

The umbrella sampling method\(^{16}\) implemented in CHARMM along with the weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM) was then applied to determine the change in free energy (potential of mean force) as a function of the reaction coordinate (with setting num_MC_trials = 10 and randSeed = 3024 for Monte Carlo bootstrap error analysis; see Figure S1 of the Supporting Information for the results with error bars).\(^{44}\) The reaction coordinate (RC) was defined as a linear combination of \( r(C\cdots O) \) and \( r(C\cdots O) \) \( \langle RC = r(C\cdots O) - r(C\cdots O) \rangle \), as shown in Figure 2. The determination of multidimensional free energy maps would be too time-consuming. Several previous ab initio studies\(^{15,54,60}\) of the catalytic triad systems indicated that the one-dimensional free energy simulations with the selection of a suitable reaction coordinate reflecting the key bond-breaking and bond-making events should be able to capture the key energetic properties for the reaction (e.g., the free energy barrier). For each acylation process, more than 40 windows were used, and for each window, 100 ps simulations were performed with a 50 ps equilibration. The force constants of the harmonic biasing potentials used in the PMF simulations were \( 50–500 \) kcal mol\(^{-1} \) Å\(^{-2}\). The important distances and bond lengths for the average structures of the key states are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Bond Lengths of Reaction Coordinates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>acylation process</th>
<th>RC(C–O)</th>
<th>C–O</th>
<th>O–C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeSA</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>(-1.30 \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>(1.37 \pm 0.03)</td>
<td>(2.67 \pm 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>(-0.30 \pm 0.06)</td>
<td>(1.78 \pm 0.06)</td>
<td>(1.48 \pm 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS2</td>
<td>(-0.10 \pm 0.06)</td>
<td>(1.61 \pm 0.06)</td>
<td>(1.53 \pm 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeBA</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>(-1.10 \pm 0.04)</td>
<td>(1.39 \pm 0.03)</td>
<td>(2.48 \pm 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>(0.10 \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>(1.67 \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>(1.57 \pm 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“The reaction coordinate (RC) is defined as the difference between the C–O and O=C distances. The average C–O and O=C distances are given for the RSs, TS1, and TSs of the acylation reactions of MeSA and MeBA in tobacco SABP2 obtained from SCC-DFTB/MM free energy simulations (all in angstroms).

**Benchmark Calculations.** Benchmark calculations with high-level QM/MM calculations have been used by several previous SCC-DFTBPR studies\(^{47,48}\) to prove the applicability of SCC-DFTBPR/MM on different enzyme-catalyzed reactions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time SCC-DFTB has been applied to study the reaction involving MeSA (MeBA) with the classic catalytic triad (Ser, His, and Asp). Therefore, benchmark calculations were conducted on the basis of our enzyme complex models to estimate the errors of the SCC-DFTB method in the simulations of the bond-breaking and -making process. First, we compared the optimized structures of the reactant complexes obtained using the B3LYP\(^{49–51}\)/MM and SCC-DFTB/MM methods. The QM(B3LYP/6-31G\(\text{-}(d,p))\)/MM calculations were performed for the acylation processes in SABP2 using the GAMESS-US method\(^{52}\) implemented in CHARMM.\(^{31,32}\) The basis set \( [6-31G(d,p)] \) used here has been successfully used previously in the ab initio QM/MM simulations of acetylcholinesterase\(^{53,54}\).

In addition to comparison of the reactant complex structures, we made similar comparisons on the structures near the transition states as well as the tetrahedral intermediate on the potential energy surfaces. The adiabatic mapping calculations were conducted on the basis of the following protocol. The reaction coordinate is the same as that used in the PMF calculations. A harmonic constraint with a force constant of 2000 kcal mol\(^{-1} \) Å\(^{-2}\) was added to the RC to guide the SABP2 acylation reaction. The RC value was increased stepwise from the reactant complex to the product complex, with a step size of 0.2 Å. An adopted basis Newton–Raphson (ABNR) minimization was conducted under the constraint. The RC value was then decreased from the product complex to the reactant complex. Forward and backward progress was repeated many times to obtain a smooth and more reliable potential energy surface (PES) profile along the reaction path.

**B3LYP/MM Correction of the SCC-DFTB/MM PMF Barrier.** Table 2 shows the energetic data from our benchmark calculations. The reaction barriers for the SABP2 acylation reactions with MeSA and MeBA tend to be underestimated by SCC-DFTB/MM compared with B3LYP/MM. Nevertheless, the underestimates of the energies are rather systematic for the different states. A correction for the free energy barrier obtained from the SCC-DFTB results seems to be necessary.\(^{47,48}\) The correction used in this work, to be added to the PMF free energy barrier, is the difference in the potential energy barriers from the adiabatic mapping calculations based on the SCC-DFTB/MM and B3LYP/MM methods.

**Kinetic Data.** The \( V_{\text{max}} \) values for PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 using MeSA as a substrate have been experimentally determined in our previous study;\(^{25}\) these values were used to calculate the \( k_{\text{cat}} \) in each case with the MeSA substrate. The \( V_{\text{max}} \) values of PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 using MeBA as a substrate were experimentally determined in this work using the same protocol that was used to determine the \( V_{\text{max}} \) of PtSABP2-1 (PtSABP2-2) with MeSA.\(^{25}\) PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 recombinant proteins expressed in *Escherichia coli* were purified and then used in a two-step radiochemical esterase assay to determine the \( V_{\text{max}} \) values. The obtained \( V_{\text{max}} \) values were used to calculate the \( k_{\text{cat}} \) values of PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 using MeBA as a substrate. The activation barriers for MeSA and MeBA were then obtained on the basis of transition state theory and are listed in Table 2.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As mentioned earlier, the SABP2-catalyzed reaction consists of two steps, acylation and deacylation. The B3LYP/MM potential energy functions of the both acylation and deacylation have been determined for MeSA and MeBA, and the barriers from the potential energy functions suggest that the acylation step is the rate-limiting step in each case (see below).
Table 2. Acylation Free Energy Barriers of SABP2 (kilocalories per mole)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PtSABP2−1a</th>
<th>PtSABP2−2a</th>
<th>TS1b</th>
<th>T1b</th>
<th>TS2b</th>
<th>B3LYP correctionc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeSA</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>7.5 (10.6/4.1)</td>
<td>7.1 (10.3/3.9)</td>
<td>9.5 (17.7/12.2)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeBA</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4 (19.5/14.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Activation barriers calculated from transition state theory at 298.15 K based on $k_\text{eq}$ values. b Values without parentheses are from SCC-DFTB/MM PMF simulations. Values in parentheses before and after the slash are from the adiabatic mapping calculations with B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)/MM and SCC-DFTB/MM methods, respectively. c The reaction barriers for the SABP2 acylation reactions with MeSA and MeBA tend to be underestimated by SCC-DFTB/MM compared to those estimated by B3LYP/MM. A correction term for the free energy barrier seems to be necessary. The estimated barrier given here (the last column) was obtained on the basis of the PMF result plus a correction term, which is the difference between the rate-limiting energy barriers (i.e., TS2 for MeSA and TS for MeBA) obtained from the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)/MM and SCC-DFTB/MM adiabatic mapping calculations. For instance, for MeSA, the correction term is 17.7 kcal/mol − 12.2 kcal/mol = 5.5 kcal/mol, and this was added to 9.5 kcal/mol to obtain the B3LYP-corrected barrier of ~14.9 kcal/mol. The relative energies of the product from the adiabatic mapping calculations are 1.0 kcal/mol (SCC-DFTB) and 4.3 kcal/mol (B3LYP) for the MeSA complex and −2.9 kcal/mol (SCC-DFTB) and −0.2 kcal/mol (B3LYP) for the MeBA complex.

The active site structure of SABP2 complexed with the product SA is plotted in Figure 2 on the basis of the X-ray structure of the SABP2–SA complex.1 The catalytic triad (Ser-81, His-238, and Asp-210) is clearly present at the active site. Although there is a hydrogen bond between His-248 and Asp-210, the hydroxyl group of Ser-81 does not form a hydrogen bond with His-238 in the X-ray structure. To perform the nucleophilic attack by Ser-81 on the carbon atom (C) of the substrate, the hydrogen bonding network of the catalytic triad was generated by changing the torsion angle of the side chain of Ser-81; a similar approach has been used in generating a computer model in a previous investigation.21 As a result, the residues of the catalytic triad are well-aligned for the nucleophilic attack by Ser-81 on the carbon atom (C) of MeSA, as required for acylation. In the active site of the crystal structure, the oxyanion hole is formed by two hydrogen bonds from SA and Ala-13. It is of interest to note that the amide group of Leu-82 forms a rather weak interaction with the carbonyl group (O) of the substrate with a distance of 3.55 Å. This construction of the oxyanion hole indicates that the two hydrogen bonds (O···O, 2.64 Å; N···O, 2.79 Å) involving SA and Ala-13 may be considerably important for oxyanion hole stabilization and that the weak hydrogen bond from the amide group of Leu-82 (N···O, 3.55 Å) may be enhanced as a result of the development of negative charge during the acylation process.

Acylation Reaction Involving MeSA. MeSA is believed to be the natural substrate of SABP2. The active site structures of SABP2 complexed with MeSA obtained from the B3LYP/MM and SCC-DFTB/MM geometry optimizations are shown in Figure 3a; the initial structures for the optimizations were obtained from different snapshots of the SCC-DFTB/MM molecular dynamics simulations. The optimized structure of the reactant complex from SCC-DFTB/MM shows generally good agreement with that obtained from B3LYP/MM. The hydrogen bonding networks of the catalytic triad are aligned very well via both QM/MM methods. The specific three-pronged oxyanion hole, consisting of two relatively strong hydrogen bonds and a weak one (see above), also agrees well with the crystal structure. Although the geometry optimizations for the reactant state were started from two different snapshots of the SCC-DFTB/MM MD simulations for the two different QM/MM methods, most of the distances obtained from the two QM/MM methods are rather similar. However, there are still some relatively large differences (≥0.25 Å) from the two different QM/MM methods. It is of interest to note from Figure 3d that the proton has been transferred away from the side chain of His238, and this is different from the corresponding result from the PMF simulations (see Figure 4f). At this point, the SCC-DFTB/MM and B3LYP/MM calculations show rather similar results, which is the most important factor for the benchmark calculations. The comparison indicates that overall the SCC-DFTB/MM5,56 Hamiltonian is able to provide a reliable description for the structural features of SABP2 complexed with MeSA.

The SCC-DFTB/MM and B3LYP/MM results show the similar trends from the adiabatic mapping calculations as shown in Figure 3a–d. For example, the hydrogen bond from Leu-82 to the substrate is enhanced with developing negative charge on the oxygen upon going from RS to TI. The shapes of potential energy surfaces for the two QM/MM methods also agree well with each other for the two energy ridges (TSs) and a basin (TI) as shown in Table 2; e.g., the free energies at TS1 and TS2 for MeSA are increased systematically by approximately 5.5–6.5 kcal/mol upon going from SCC-DFTB/MM to B3LYP/MM. For the second TS with the highest barrier, the energy barrier was calculated to be ~5.5 kcal/mol lower from SCC-DFTB/MM than that from B3LYP/MM (i.e., 12.2 vs 17.7 kcal/mol). This underestimation by SCC-DFTB/MM in calculating the energy barrier compared to that from high-level QM/MM calculation is consistent with a previous SCC-DFTB study.48 In the case presented here, it might be due to an over-stabilization of the transition state or an underestimate of the stability of the reactant by SCC-DFTB, leading to a relatively low barrier. It is of interest to note that the stability of the products seems to be overestimated by SCC-DFTB (Table 2). Although SCC-DFTB still has room for improvement, it seems to be an efficient and reasonably reliable method for determining the relative barriers57,48,49 in computer simulations of the acylation reaction of MeSA and MeBA in SABP2. The potential energy functions for the decylation step were also obtained; e.g., the energy barrier was calculated to be 15.4 kcal/mol from the QM(B3LYP/6-31G(d,p))/MM method for MeSA (compared to 17.7 kcal/mol for acylation). The results suggest that the acylation process is the rate-limiting step.

The free energy profile along the reaction coordinate of the acylation reaction for MeSA obtained from the SCC-DFTB/MM PMF simulations is shown in Figure 4a; the changes in the average distances for r(C=O) and r(C=O) are given in Figure 4b. The free energy profile shows that a stable tetrahedral intermediate (TI) (the structure is given in Figure 4e) and two TSs exist during the acylation step. The highest free energy barrier is located at the second TS (see above) with a reaction coordinate of ~0.4 Å. This barrier (9.5 kcal/mol) is significantly lower than the value from the experimental estimate based on $k_\text{cat}$ (see Table 2). However, this is
not surprising because the benchmark calculations based on adiabatic mapping (see discussions above) found that SCC-DFTB/MM systematically underestimates the potential energies compared to B3LYP/MM. If a correction of 5.5 kcal/mol (the difference between the SCC-DFTB/MM and B3LYP/MM barriers from the adiabatic mapping) is used, the estimated barrier for acylation from the simulations would be $\sim 15$ kcal/mol.

It should be pointed out that the key purpose of this study is to compare the relative catalytic efficiencies of SABP2 on MeSA and MeBA and examine the role of SAC from MeSA. Much of the error in the computational methods is expected to be canceled out because of the fact that they are used for the same reaction process with very similar structural features of the substrates.

Figure 4b shows that the distances and bond lengths of $r(C\cdots O)$ and $r(C=O)$ change smoothly and intersect at an RC around 0 Å; some average distances and bond lengths in RS, TSs, and TI are summarized in Table 1. Panels c–f of Figure 4 show that the average structures along the reaction path share properties similar to those in the optimized structures obtained earlier (Figure 3a–d). As expected, the role of the catalytic triad in the acylation process seems to be the same as in the case of classic serine proteases.\textsuperscript{45,58} For instance, the carbonylate of Asp-208 works with the incipient imidazolium cation to stabilize the TS and the TI through electrostatic interactions.\textsuperscript{58,59} We also examined the changes in the hydrogen-bond distances for the hydrogen bonds involved in the three-pronged oxyanion hole interactions (Ala-13, Leu-82, and the MeSA hydroxyl group). In RS (Figure 4a), the two hydrogen bonds are formed between the MeSA carbonyl group (O1) and the amide group of Ala-13 and between the MeSA carbonyl and hydroxyl groups, with N\cdots O and O\cdots O distances of 1.90 and 1.80 Å, respectively. It is of interest to note that the amide group of Leu-82 forms a rather week interaction with O1 of the substrate with a distance of 2.96 Å in the RS. Thus, the enzyme seems to contain a less effective oxyanion hole from its functional groups for the stabilization of the tetrahedral intermediates (TIs) during the catalysis. Interestingly, the 2-OH group of MeSA forms a strong hydrogen bond with O1 with a hydrogen-bond distance of 1.67 Å. Moreover, this hydrogen bond seems to strengthen significantly as the reaction proceeds from the reactant complex to the tetrahedral intermediate (see Figure 4c–f) based on the PMF simulations. Thus, the 2-OH group from the MeSA substrate may help to generate a more effective oxyanion hole for lowering the activation barrier through SAC and contribute to the relatively high specificity of SABP2 toward MeSA.

**Acylation Reaction Involving MeBA.** To test the hypothesis concerning the importance of SAC in substrate discrimination, the acylation reaction involving methyl benzoate (MeBA) was also studied. MeBA is presumably a substrate of SABP2, as well, and has a structure very similar to that of MeSA except that it lacks the 2-OH hydroxyl group. Similar to the case involving MeSA (see above), the results obtained from the SCC-DFTB/MM energy minimization for the SABP2–MeBA complex are quite similar to those from the B3LYP/MM calculations (Figure 5a,b). However, unlike the case involving MeSA, there is no stable intermediate obtained from the calculations (see below). In the optimized structure of RS (Figure 5a), the similar patterns of the hydrogen bonding...
networks are obtained from the calculations using the two different methods. It can be seen from Figure 5a that without the OH group on the substrate, a two-pronged oxyanion hole is formed in the SABP2−MeBA complex instead of the three-pronged one as observed in the SABP2−MeSA complex. The amide group of Leu-82 is closer to O1 of the MeBA carbonyl group (approximately 2.3–2.4 Å) compared to that in the MeSA complex. Nevertheless, the corresponding hydrogen bond still seems to be considerably weaker than the hydrogen bond involving Ala-13 with a hydrogen-bond distance of 1.7–1.8 Å. Similar to the case involving MeSA, the SCC-DFTB/MM method underestimates the energy barrier by ~4.6 kcal/mol based on the adiabatic mapping calculations (Table 2). The structures near the TS from the two different QM/MM methods are quite similar (Figure 5b). Moreover, the proton has been transferred from the side chain of His-238; this phenomenon has been observed in the MeSA benchmark calculations and discussed earlier.

The free energy (PMF) profile obtained with the SCC-DFTB/MM method is given in Figure 6. Figure 6 shows that, as expected, there is only one TS located at an RC of ~0.1 Å. The C−O and C−Oγ distances are plotted as a function of RC in Figure 6b. Similar to the case involving MeSA, r(C···O) and r(C−Oγ) change smoothly and intersect at an RC of ~0 Å. The free energy barrier is calculated to be 11.4 kcal/mol, which is ~2 kcal/mol higher than the barrier in the case involving MeSA. As is shown in Table 2, this barrier is likely underestimated by ~4.6 kcal/mol because of the systematic error of SCC-DFTB. After the barrier correction based on comparison of the results from the adiabatic mapping calculations with the different QM/MM methods (see above), the estimate for the free energy barrier is ~16.1 kcal/mol (Table 2), which is 1.2 kcal/mol higher than the estimate for the free energy barrier of MeSA with a similar correction.

Comparison with Experiments. To confirm the theoretical prediction concerning the involvement of the hydroxyl
group of MeSA in SAC and substrate discrimination, we measured the esterase activities of PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 toward MeBA and compared them with the corresponding activities of these enzymes toward MeSA.25 (Table 2). PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 are 98% identical to each other and have sequences >77% identical to that of tobacco SABP2.21 Their activity profiles for different substrates were found to be rather similar to that of tobacco SABP2.21 For instance, it was observed21 that for tobacco SABP2 the esterase activity with MeIAA and MeJA was less than 15% of that with MeSA at substrate concentrations of 10 and 100 μM, and no MeJA esterase activity was observed at 10 μM substrate concentration. For PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2, we showed previously25 that at 10 and 100 μM substrate concentration both enzymes had no or very low activity with MeIAA and MeJA. Moreover, the esterase activities of PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 with MeJA are only 9 and 14% of their corresponding activities with MeSA, respectively, at 1 mM substrate. These results indicate that the three enzymes are highly specific for MeSA among the substrates tested at both physiologically relevant (10 μM) and irrelevant (1 mM) concentrations.

Figure 5. Results from the benchmark calculations for SABP2 complexed with MeBA. Numbers within parentheses are obtained from the SCC-DFTB/MM geometry optimization with dispersion correction; those without parentheses are obtained from the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)/MM geometry optimization. (a) Reactant state obtained via adiabatic mapping. (b) Structure near the transition state (TS). All distances are in angstroms.

Figure 6. PMF results for SABP2 complexed with MeBA with SCC-DFTB/MM. (a) Free energy profile along the reaction coordinate. See Figure S1B for error bars. (b) Changes in the average distances along the reaction coordinate: (−−−) r(C···Oγ) and (−−−−) r(C···O). (c) Reactant state. (d) Transition state. Distances are in angstroms, and energies are in kilocalories per mole.
Consistent with the results of the theoretical calculations, for PtSABP2-1 the activation barriers were found to be 18.7 and 20.4 kcal/mol for MeSA and MeBA, respectively, based on their \( k_{cat} \) values (0.120 s\(^{-1}\) for MeSA and 0.007 s\(^{-1}\) for MeBA) from this and the earlier work\(^{25}\) as well as transition state theory. Correspondingly, the \( K_M \) (\( k_{cat}/K_M \)) values were found to be 68.2 μM (1.76 × 10\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\)) and 39.6 μM (1.77 × 10\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\)) for MeSA and MeBA, respectively. Similar to the case for PtSABP2-1, the activation barriers for PtSABP2-2 based on the \( k_{cat} \) values for MeSA (0.187 s\(^{-1}\)) and MeBA (0.038 s\(^{-1}\)) were estimated to be 18.4 and 19.4 kcal/mol, respectively; the \( K_M \) (\( k_{cat}/K_M \)) values were found to be 24.6 μM (7.6 × 10\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\)) and 42.1 μM (9 × 10\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\)) for MeSA and MeBA, respectively. Thus, the difference in the experimental activation barriers for MeSA and MeBA in both PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 is ~1.0−1.7 kcal/mol. Moreover, the \( k_{cat}/K_M \) values for MeSA in these enzymes are approximately 8.5−10 times higher than the corresponding values for MeBA. The relatively high activities involving MeSA may be attributed to the existence of the interaction involving the 2-OH group in MeSA, as we discussed earlier. This hydrogen bond can be attributed to the existence of the interaction involving the 2-OH group for the catalysis may contribute, at least in part, to the effectiveness of the oxyanion hole of enzymes is still lacking. Our results here show that SABP2 may contain a less perfect oxyanion hole, with the additional discrimination, as well.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Many enzymes have been found to possess a remarkable ability to discriminate between their natural substrates and closely related molecules.\(^{64,65}\) However, our understanding of this ability of enzymes is still lacking. Our results here show that SABP2 may contain a less perfect oxyanion hole, with the additional contribution coming from the natural substrate MeSA. For other compounds and substrates without such a well-positioned functional group, the catalysis would be less efficient and the discrimination between MeSA and these compounds would then be achieved. Our results therefore support the suggestion that some naturally occurring enzymes might have already used SAC as one of its important strategies for substrate discrimination. The experimental data for PtSABP2-1 and PtSABP2-2 seem to support our theoretical prediction that the participation of the 2-OH group for the catalysis may contribute, at least in part, to the relatively strong esterase activity of these enzymes toward MeSA. It would be of considerable interest to examine whether other naturally occurring enzymes would use SAC for substrate discrimination, as well.
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